Appln No. 10/611753 Response date May 4, 2007

Reply to Office action of March 15, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 30-40 are pending in this application, of which claims 30, 34 and 37 are

independent. Claim 30 has been amended. The amendment adds no new matter and finds full

support in the application as originally filed. In view of the above claims and the following

remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and a timely indication of allowance.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner has rejected claim 30 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as having insufficient

antecedent basis for the limitation "the transport system" in line 4. The Examiner is correct. The

limitation "the transport system" is a typographical error which should have read "the transport

vehicle" which is introduced in the preamble of claim 30. Claim 30 has been amended to correct

this typographical error. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claim

30 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, be withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The Examiner has rejected claims 30-40 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type

double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,939,031. Applicant submits herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate the Examiner's rejection. Accordingly,

Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 30-40 under the ground of

nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting be withdrawn

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that

claims 30-40 are in condition for allowance, and a timely indication of allowance is respectfully

requested. If there are any remaining issues that can be addressed by telephone, Applicant

invites the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the number indicated.

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any required fees (or credit

any overpayment) to our Deposit Account No. 04-1579(56.0745).

-7-